

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/816,557	JONES, RONALD M.
	Examiner Maureen M. Wallenhorst	Art Unit 1743

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) Maureen M. Wallenhorst. (3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Jacqueline Mahoney (Appl. Rep.). (4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 13 December 2006

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*none*

Claims discussed:

36, 37

Prior art documents discussed:

*none*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*It was agreed to make a minor change in claims 36-37 in order to use the same terminology as used in independent claim 17, and to place the application in condition for allowance. See Examiner's Amendment.*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)